UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,863	10/11/2004	David C. H. Cheng	14098-US-PA 5862		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			EXAMINER		
			NORRIS, JEREMY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN	·	•	2841		
	•		,	-	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/711,863	CHENG, DAVID C. H.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeremy C. Norris	2841

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Jeremy C. Norris	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	REPLY FILED <u>01 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
nave tunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	. will not be entered b	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<del></del>						
5. 📙	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-9 and 16.		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11,13-15,17 and 18.							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	(losses has					
13. L	] Other:		Jeromu C. N.	orris				
			Jeremy C. No.  Jeremy C. No.  Patent Exam  Technology C.	rineh				
			Tech nology C	enter 2800				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation "a first blind hole formed in the first surface of the dielectric layer and a second blind hole formed in the second surface of the dielectric layer", was not present in the claims at the time of Final Rejection. Additionally, the Examiner notes that the proposed amendment attempts to define the inveniton as a product by process. However, patenability will be determined by the resulting structure not the process by which said priduct was created. (See MPEP 2113).